# **Minutes**

Thursday, 1 November 2:10pm – 4:00pm rm. 6203

## **DRAFT**



#### **Exec Officers**

### 2018-2019

Kate Williams Browne President

> Jesse Raskin Vice President

Jing Folsom Secretary

Mustafa Popal Treasurer

### [non-voting]

Leigh Anne Shaw Past President

#### Non-voting members

#### **Committee Chairs**

Jessica Hurless Curriculum

Nathan Jones
Educational Policy Chair

Rika Fabian Professional Personnel Chair

## **Ex-Officio Representatives**

Sherrie Prasad / Michelle Haggar Classified Senate

Bianca Rowden-Quince

AFT Rep

Michelle Chee

ASSC Rep

Courtney Mogg Guided Pathways / Design Team

# Representatives

#### **Divisions**

Bianca Rowden-Quince

ASLT

Dick Claire/Dan Ming BEPP

Courtney Mogg / RichardTorres Counseling

Jarrod Feiner / Erinn Struss

Language Arts

Amber Steele / Gabe Saucedo[S] KAD

Bridget Fischer/
Lisa Cresson[F] John Ulloa[S]

SSCA

Jing Folsom / Carla Grandy SMT

#### Groups

Ronda Wimmer CTE Liaison

Barbara Corzonkoff/Lisa Cresson Adjunct

# **Opening Procedures**

Item	Presenter	Time	Details	Action/ Info /Procedure
Call to order	President	1	Jesse Call to order at 2:15 pm	Procedure
Roll/Introductions	Secretary	1	Quorum is 7/13 voting members: 1 vote/each GC member, 1 vote/each Division and each Group We have quorum 9/13 Present: Jesse Raskin, Jing Folsom, Mustafa Popal, Nathan Jones, Rika Fabian [late], Bianca Rowden-Quince, Courtney Mogg, Dick Claire, Dan Ming, Richard Torres, Jarrod Feiner, Erinn Struss, Amber Steele, Bridget Fischer, Lisa Cresson, Carla Grandy, Ronda Wimmer, Barbara Corzonkoff, Melissa Matthews  Absent: Kate Browne, Leigh Anne Shaw, Jessica Hurless, Sherrie Prasad, Michelle Haggar, Michelle Chee,	Procedure
Consent agenda	President	1	ASLT Ed Policy Committee change: to Daniel Ng, Library Faculty AS accept Daniel Ng as new member. No discussion.	Action/ Materials
Adoption of today's agenda	President	1	Motion on accept today's agenda, passes unanimously M: Erinn /S: Bianca	Action/ Materials

Adoption of previous meeting minutes	Secretary	1	Motion on adoption of previous meeting minutes, Passes unanimously M: Nathan /S: Jarrod	Action/ Materials
Timekeeper			Erinn	
Public Comment	Public	5	No comment from the public	Information
	TOTAL	10		

# New Senate Business

AB 705	Feiner	20	Introduction of AB 705
			add 10 more minutes to the discussion
			Jarrod Feiner (English instructor) and Melissa Matthaws
			(DRC) co-presenters.
			AB 705 is a bill signed by the Governor on October 13,
			2017 that took effect on January 1, 2018. The bill requires
			that a community college district or college maximize the
			probability that a student will enter and complete transfer-
			level coursework in English and math within a one-year
			timeframe and use, in the placement of students into
			English and math courses, one or more of the following:
			high school coursework, high school grades, and high
			school grade point average.
			This bill works great for 95% of our students.
			5% students don't fit in this AB705 structure. They might
			have LD or other types of disability, so the accelerated
			model doesn't work for them. Other students without a
			disability might want some English classes below the
			transfer level to help them prepare for college level
			English. And most high schools don't have the technology
			we have, so the students coming from high schools need
			time to master those skills when taking a pre-transfer level
			class.
			Removing pre-transfer course[s] as a stepping stone
			causes huge problem for our students. These students are
			"highly unlikely to succeed," for in the fall 2019 there will
			be no pre-transfer level class offered.
			The same problem exists in Math.
			Dick: I teach at Canada college, 40% of the students need
			to take Algebra I and Algebra II, those are non-transferable
			courses. Those students are affected by AB 705 as well.
			The next question is: if those students don't pass the
			transfer level classes, where do they go? One place is the
			adult school, but adult school doesn't have the resource to
			support these students with disability at all. From equity
			frame of work, this is not the solution. It is not right that if
			our students need the extra time to learn, we have no
			option for them.
			Courtney Mogg: Is there a discussion about supplement
			English and math courses, such as Math 200 with Math
			820?
			Jarrod: Yes, it has been discussed but right now we don't
			have the money to help them go through, to support the
			students. The idea is we have Supplemental instructors
			who are embedded tutors, the Learning Center and
			technology & the resources, even with all the support we
			have, still going to take a lot of time.
			nave, sam going to take a lot of time.
	<u>I</u>	I .	

			Technically, the students can take the course three times, but they only have one year to get through, there is a mismatch of AB 705 and the repeatability policy, 3 times takes year and half.  Melissa: Showed a Slide illustrating that our students succeed more if the students were placed in Eng 105 level The position of California Community College Chancellor's office, there is no students fall into the "highly unlikely to succeed" category. In a conference, how to determine the "highly unlikely to succeed" students, do we do that by meeting the students, understanding their disability, background, where they are, individually making that determination. The answer is no, it is based on the throughput number, kind of generated as afterthought. They didn't segregate the data to see if the students with ADHD, how do they perform, they lump all of them together, they will be fine, give them accommodation. The conference created in three ranges based on GPA. The high school GPA is not reflective because there are lots of modifications.  Dan: DRC does help my students. They are succeeding with all the support.  Melissa: Is there enough available funding to support the service?  Dick: Suggestion to move this issue up to district AS meeting and to the California AS levels.  Erinn: Looked at the Americans with Disabilities Act, we may violate some laws here. College may get sued due to lack of service. Legal liability concern.  Melissa: We're trying to save Eng 846, one level below at this point. Just need one or two section.  Jing: How to place the students in the pre-transfer courses?  Melissa: Counselors help to place, using multiple measures and self-placement to determine which one is better for them. Right now there is no option for them.	
Professional Development: Article 13	Fabian	30	Discussion about faculty professional development funding, committee, and processes Motion to postpone this discussion due to the absence of Rika Fabian.  M: Jesse, S:Lisa Rika arrived at 3 pm.  Rika, Bianca and Paul: Article 13 impact. Purpose of this meeting is to start the conversation about Article 13 professional development policies, procedures and committee structure. how to support.  In December, there will be a joint AFT and District AS meeting on professional development. That will be a time when some of the insights, information and discussions that's happening, if there is any changes, recommendation to be made to article 13, also would happen during negotiation.  Context to local ASenate: give an Introduction to connect some of the dots about what the purpose of that meeting	Discussion

will be and get local AS input. Asked question: if there is a conference you really want to go, what are the steps that you need to take? Floor answer about the application sequence: find the form, fill out the form, give to the dean, then to the VPI and then to the committee. Basically, we are not sure about the process. How long we have to fill out the form and how long the dean has to submit the form.

Paul: Dean has 5 school days to submit, then what happens, Who makes the decision? Why it got denied? Floor: Denial of the application due to the lack of information about the meeting, lack of sufficient responses of dissemination of the professional development event to colleagues.

Bianca: The denial is from? Mustafa: VPI.

Nathan: The application may lack of meal plan, description of the conference.

Paul: What make sense about the process, what are some gaps?

Bridget: What is the process after the dean?

Bianca: Supposed to go to VPI, but doesn't need to go to the VPI.

Barbara: VPI says yes or no? Or the committee decide? Rika: Let's look at the documents I sent out early. Three files, article 13, budget, application process.

Paul: The Article 13 funds come from the Equivalent of 1% of pay of full time tenured faculty and 3<sup>rd</sup>-4<sup>th</sup> tenure tracked faculty members to the pot. And it comes back out to each campus proportionally according to the number of FTEF. It is a match from the district, it is not something come out from your check. The funds are available to all faculty. Those are dedicated funds from the district. Your request of professional development should be made independently regardless of other things.

The Article 13 committee. The seats of the committee, who can sit in the committee? For each campus 4 faculty members, 2 administers. The reason for faculty out number of administers because it is part of district money for us, our learning and development, we should be able to judge our development by our peers.

Fabian: Each campus utilizes the money: CSM: 81%, CAN: 83%, SKY: 40%. This indicates that we have internal problems that block the money flow. We don't know yet the source[s] of the problem- maybe not enough people applied for the money, not many adjunct faculty know about this funds, or got stuck in the procedures, or missing other things.

Jing and Ronda: Applied, but never got approved.
Rika: The process in place at Skyline college right now is:

- 1. Faculty member fills out application,
- 2. Application goes to the dean,
- 3. Dean approves & forwards to ASLT division,
- 4. ASLT approves & forwards to the VPI,
- VPI approves and forwards to Faculty PD Committee [FPD],

- 6. FPD approves & forwards application results to ASLT division,
- 7. College president gives final review.
- 8. Final signed applications back to ASLT division who contacts the Faculty member.

According to the contract: VPI should not to be in the picture and actually Dean is not specified as the gatekeeper. The application should go to the Dean and then to the committee. The contract article 13.11.4 states: The Committee will submit a prioritized list of projects to the President of the college who will be responsible for granting final approval after consultation with the appropriate Dean. Such approval shall be granted or denied within 15 school days of receipt after all of the project documentation has been submitted. But in reality, Dean is acting as first gatekeeper which make sense because he/she needs to consider a substitution when that faculty is going away. Dean sometimes does judge, returning/deny the application. The role of Dean in the application process is not clear in the contract, it only says review the application and forward it.

Dick: is the process the same cross the district?

No. This is Skyline flow chart. These two AS are collecting the information about how each can have very different selection process. CSM application, made it clear that Dean's approval is only based on his/her ability to find a substitution not based on content of the application. There are different places that we can fix the process to avoid the administrators have excessive power

Mustafa: It is very interesting to find out , look at the [10+1] cards, number 8 Policies for faculty professional development activities, who gets to determine the policy, local level, if so, could we write a policy say, we don't need to talk to such and such.

Bianca: The money should be used for professional development. The money does roll over each year. We need support from AS to use those money.

Dick: District approved the [10+1] finally, we should be the gatekeeper for this process. CAN has less steps in the process. Skyline has more steps in the process.

Paul: Article 13.11 selection process, make it simple. Erinn: How to share with the peer/campus about the

professional development? This is the question in all campus application.

Bridget: Committee has administrator, it seems redundancy in the process.

Lisa: Article 13-12, language is too loose,

Bianca: Need to spend time to draft revised policy ASAP, encourage faculty to use the money. Remove VPI and VPSS office from the process?

Right now, it is easier for full time to get the funds than part time, it is not right. The funding is available for all faculty members.

It is extremely concerning leaving money on the table, we'd like to do can separate out extended leave so that we can guarantee the sabbatical, maybe a year or two

		semesters sabbatical. We want that to be in separate pot. We are not competing. Jesse: Continue discussion about professional development. Email me if you are interested in being a committee member to discuss this.	
TOTAL	40		

# Reports, Updates, Business: Standing Agenda Items

Item	Presenter	Time	Details	Action/ Info /Discussion
Student-Ready College	Cresson, Fischer, Popal	30	Next Steps: Constituent Discussions and Survey Mustafa: engage our division to the survey of student ready college concept.	Discussion
			Lisa: what is your role as division rep? How your behavior is going to look at AS? Jog down what's your role on the note card?	
			Bridget: engaging motivate Amber: vet issues Erinn: create and craft policy [10+1] Bianca: engagement	
			Ronda: clarify policy information Rika: report what the committee doing, alignment	
			Mustafa: discussion about the hiring process, potentially can be no hiring, personal can shift from one campus to another campus Lisa: part time faculty, go to division meeting. Care about	
			who is the dean. There is no clear way, solution.  Mustafa: Approach to organizing the inquiry  Division level discussion (What is your thought?	
			Collect all the info and compile the info, make sure all the voice heard)	
			Senate level discussion	
			Strategic inclusivity	
			<ul><li>Create proposal draft</li><li>Share with active members of division for</li></ul>	
			revised draft	
			<ul> <li>Share with division as a whole to finalize proposal</li> </ul>	
			Administration might act as point of contact	
			What the approach hopes to achieve: -Leverage the power in the spaces you are in -Goals: to develop comfort, confidence and motivation amongst members of your division and senate -Actively engage so faculty is full participants in college	
			-Actively engage so faculty is full participants in college governance.	

	l		
		Jesse: We create the definition of student ready college. We have a survey, there is no background about the survey. Contact the division dean to have some time to introduce the survey to our colleagues.	
		Dick: Only one division meeting left in this semester, so Spring semester (Feb or March) is better time to do the survey in the division meeting. Propose about 30 minutes	
		in the division meeting.	
Reps and officers	10	Executive Council  CGC: report postponed  ASCCC: report postponed  DAS[10/8] Prof. Dev  Jesse waive the reports.  Treasurer's Report: Membership  Mustafa: Last Friday, deposited the money from \$25	Materials
		deduction from the paycheck. District takes the money out of the paycheck and then write a check to AS. AS has business saving's account. AS can write two checks a year without any fees, any check after that is \$1 per check. No monthly fees. Old bank has been bought by another bank. Our account is the same the old one. We went from first national bank to tri county bank. Next report will happen after AS write a check for scholarships.	
		• Curriculum [10/24]  Carla reported on behalf of Jessica, the curriculum committee. The committee continues to review and approve the curriculum, majority being course modification for comprehensive program review.  Committee has engaged in conversations around AB 705 and applications to curriculum, both inside and outside discipline. The committee also discussed placing courses into disciplines; some faculty has involved in this activity. Assigning courses to disciplines, as soon as the process has been determined and approved, the committee will present to the AS.	
		Jesse: The importance of assigning courses to the disciplines is it could change who is qualified to teach a course. We could have more interdisciplinary courses.  Ronda: It could also has issues with CTE staff. CTE faculty can be consulted with courses may not fall into the category because there is tend to be assumption that faculty member interpret differently vs what those courses are, this will affect the students learning, like two ships pass and they are disconnected. It needs to be addressed how this is going, how this is being handled, who is teaching, what courses could be, who they may talk to. Make sure that they are talking to the discipline expert. In my program, they are not talking to the discipline expert and the decision made by that are inaccurate. We need to make sure this is not happen in others.  • Ed Policy [11/6] no report, Nathan • Professional Personnel- Exemplary Award,	
	-	-	We have a survey, there is no background about the survey. Contact the division dean to have some time to introduce the survey to our colleagues.  Dick: Only one division meeting left in this semester, so Spring semester (Feb or March) is better time to do the survey in the division meeting. Propose about 30 minutes in the division meeting. Propose about 30 minutes in the division meeting.  Reps and officers  10

Г	Г		0 10 2 110 1 1 1	
			Governance Committee Reports [10+1 related]:	
			[e.g., Accreditation, ACED, CSI/College Success, FTEF,	
			Outreach, PD, SEEED, SPARC/IEC, Tech]	
			Jesse, ACED discussion about professional development,	
			The role of CTTL, gathering the feedback from members,	
Outputies Describe	D	10	summary of meetings. No other reports.	I f
Organization Reports	Reps	10	ASSC No report	Information
			AFT [10/30]	
			Bianca: New <i>Advocate</i> came out today, check that out.	
			Two other things: we have AFT office hours on Tuesdays, next is Nov 13. People are coming slowly, the committee	
			are organized to start to catalog things, there are some	
			trends are bubbling out, executive committee meeting at	
			Skyline, Nov 14, 2:15-5 pm, all are welcomed. No need to	
			stay the whole time from 2:15 to 5. Rika and Bianca will	
			send out the message Monday or Tuesday to remind	
			people.	
			Paul: New AFT agenda is out. New negotiation, survey has	
			been sent out, not sure when is the hard day close the	
			survey, please finish the survey. We have 125-130	
			responses collected, nice number, but not even 20% of the	
			entire faculty cross the district.	
			Bridget: compare with the last survey, this is more	
			response or less.	
			Not sure for that. Typically 15% response.	
			Bianca: if we don't tell people what we are negotiating for,	
			we don't want them to assume on our behalf. On Monday	
			when the agenda is out, send out the negotiation issue as	
			well.	
			Paul: There will be a link with it. Lots of responses have	
			been in the negotiation item list.	
			Jesse: When does contract negotiation begins? Paul: They began once we sunshine to and to the board of	
			trustee, which will happen in board of trustee November	
			14 meeting.	
			Jesse: Summary of the survey to be published?? How AS	
			can support?	
			Paul: There will be calling upon ways to strategize the	
			negotiation ways, we're going to discuss the findings,	
			identify the issues and prioritize them for Nov and through	
			the Spring semester. We're working on that structure right	
			now. For How to engage faculty more with negotiation	
			process. There is constant feedback between the team	
			doing the negotiation and the faculty on the ground. We	
			can have faculty from different discipline can come to the	
			meeting to testify.	
			Jesse: How AS can support?	
			Paul: Nice presentation at divisions and disciplines. It is a	
			key to disseminating issues to the folks you work with.	
			CTE D	
			CTE Report	
			Ronda: Andrea Vizenor, Director of Workforce Program,	
			and Ronda will be presenting at December meeting about	
			what changes are happening, checking with all other CTE	
			programs about Guided Pathway impact, what has worked	
			and not. Andrea is going to look at from the Strong Workforce perspective. What happened in the post-	
			secondary schools (closing a lot of programs) is happening	
			secondary schools (closing a lot of programs) is nappening	

			at community colleges. Andrea is giving support to faculty to promote their programs, connect with industry, and create internships, paid internships that the interns can help the faculty get the program up and going. What the formula is, how we get money, much more knowledgeable with a lot of inner working.  Jesse: transparency of the funding from and going?  Ronda: There is a blank transparency with overall summary. How it's broken down. I'm not aware of it but it doesn't mean it isn't happening.  Bianca: High school dual enrollment impact?  Ronda: Yes, Andrea is much more knowledgeable about Dual Enrollment. That seems to be a big push as well as increasing online offerings. The flip side of that is what if the programs don't support the high school students because they aren't high school students oriented. If everything is pushed to the high schools, what about adult learners? No solutions yet. Not well thought and there is disconnection from bottom all the way up to the legislation. This is happening across the nation.  Classified Senate joint meeting TBD  SPARC-[11/8] CPRs to be held Spring  Guided pathway Courtney Mogg no report yet.	
Representative Reports	Reps	10	Division Senators, Adjunct Representatives, CTE Liaison,	Information
			Guided Pathways Liaison.	
	TOTAL	65		

# Final Announcements and Adjournment

Item	Presenter	Time	Details	Action/ Info
Adjournment	Raskin	1	Motion of adjournment	Action
Next Meeting:			M: Mustafa / S: Jesse	
11/15/18			Minutes submitted by: Jing Folsom	
[D3]				
	TOTAL	116		