# History Department Comprehensive Program Review

# Historical Framework Assessing Past & Present While Planning for the Future

+

Challenge

Historical Context

Outcome

Choice

0.

# (Historical) Context Snap shot of Program

### About Us

- 4 tenured faculty, 9 adjunct faculty
- AA-T History
- Department carries a major portion of our divisions load through G.E. offering.
- We have roughly 20 courses that we offer in various modalities.

### **Campus Contributions**

Our department & its members have contributed to equity informed programming such as...

- Comprehensive College Redesign
- Equity Academies
- Social Science Unwrapped Series
- Project Change
- Learning communities

### Program Outcomes

(We are a GE program. Only 10 students graduated with a History Degree in the last two academic years)

	Success with				Questions/Observations	
We are an HSI?!?!?	Filipinx: Asian:	70% & 67% 76% & 75% 83% & 80% 79% & 79%	Multi-race: Black: Pacific Is.: Nat. Am./Al. Nat	75% & 75% 65% & 67% 64% & 57% . 67% & 55%	<ul> <li>Are we col trends?</li> <li>We need in data</li> </ul>	
	~	Female: 77 Male: 74	% & 76% % & 72%			

# The Challenge(s) Issues & obstacles identified through CPR process

## **Departmental** Challenges

### Deep philosophical divides i.e. Student-ready vs. collegeready orientations Lack of Programmatic identity

i.e. Regardless of what class a student takes, what is the
historical framework of thought they should leave with?

#### Lack of Departmental Engagement

i.e. We do not meet regularly, and not all tenured faculty contribute to departmental responsibilities

# Institutional Challenges

#### Need for more integration

i.e. How are cpr findings informing Ed Master plan, Peoples College, and PD?

#### Misalignment between mindset & Structures

Student-ready pedagogy requires significant more time for relationship building and relevant curriculum designing so 5 classes at 45 students does not work

#### Equity as a Choice

Inequity is not the accumulation of random individual choices, but the desire of a system realized through its institutions

These challenges, in part, contribute to the equity gap data on the previous slide

# The Choice

Addressing Program identity through revamped SLO's

Criteria's for Revamped SLO's

Is it equity advancing?

Is it social justice oriented?

Does it center students?

Does it support currency & relevance?

Does it align with ISLO's?

#### SLO Model (ex. Hist 240)

1. Analysis and evaluation of how laws, policies and institutions have affected the distribution of power, privilege, and access for various ethnic and racial groups in California history.

2. Examination and evaluation of the significant historical contributions of various ethnic and racial groups in the development and evolution of California.

3. Assess the role the history of race and ethnicity has played in shaping contemporary social issues in California.

4. Assess the role the history of race and ethnicity in California has played in shaping personal circumstances.

\*We have created PSLO's for the first time, and they are aligned with our SLO model. \*We have updated all COR's to include ZTC materials, and some faculty are working on ZTC related projects

# Outcome(s)

Goals moving into the next six year cycle

Goal 1 Develop an equity informed departmental philosophy & identity

Goal 2 Develop assessment tools for our new SLO's

Goal 3 Advocate for the hiring of, at least, two fulltime hires

We are requesting that our administration grants us a department chair to coordinate the efforts necessary to achieving goals 1 & 2.

We carry the load for our division & corner stone g.e. programs We have 13 faculty

We have deep divides to bridge Inequitable work load on our dept already is a problem Goal 3 Advocate for the hiring, at least, two new faculty hire

### Goal 3 Advocate for the hiring of, at least, two fulltime hires