

PROGRAM REVIEW MODELS DISCUSSION

SPARC

May 14, 2020

Looked at program review models at 9 colleges; All 9 had a dedicated Program Review Committee

PROGRAM REVIEW COMMITTEE	COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP	COMMITTEE CHAIR(S)
BAKERSFIELD COLLEGE	<u>F</u> , C, A	F + C + A
COLLEGE OF THE DESERT	<u>F</u> , A	F
COLLEGE OF THE SEQUOIAS	F, C, A, S	F + A
CUYAMACA COLLEGE	<u>F</u> , C, A	F (INSTRUCTION) + F (STUDENT SERVICES) + A
FOOTHILL COLLEGE	<u>F</u> , C, A	TRI-CHAIR
MT. SAN JACINTO COLLEGE	F, C, A, S	F + A
REEDLEY COLLEGE	<u>F</u> , C, A, S	F
RIVERSIDE CITY COLLEGE	<u>F</u> , C, A	F + A
SIERRA COLLEGE	<u>F</u> , C, A	F

Key: F=Faculty member; C=Classified professional; A=Administrator; S=Students

PR COMMITTEE RESPONSIBILITIES

- Oversee process, template, and rubric (if used)
- Assess process
- Provide training and support
- Provide feedback on submissions
- Provide information to decision making bodies

TRAINING AND SUPPORT

Program Review Committees: 5 out of 9

- Chair(s) provide training via workshops and consultations
- Teams within the committee provide formative feedback and support during the second half of the meeting
- Committee works in partnership with the research office to provide data coaching via workshops

FEEDBACK

Program Review Committees: 8 out of 9

- Ensure they followed process
- Help to strengthen connections between the self - evaluation and future goals and plans
- Help to strengthen resource requests

PURVIEW DISCUSSION

Thoughts? Questions?